



CANADA

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,697	02/18/2004	Guildo Deschenes	004724-0001	8961
7:	590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
ROBIC			HORTON, YVO	NE MICHELE
CENTRE CDP	CAPITAL			
1001 VICTORIA SQ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOC E 8TH FLOOR			3635	
MONTREAL QUEBEC, H2Z 2B7			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/779,697	DESCHENES, GUILDO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Yvonne M. Horton ears on the cover sheet with the c	3635 orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 February 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the corre	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. See too is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/18/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/779,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "J-channel" including the main wall portion and the inverted U or L portions, and the metal sheet of "h" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 10-12, it is not clear what the claim is referring when referencing "the metal sheet of "h" ". The only mention of "h" in the specification is not directed to the metal sheet, but rather to the shingle. Please review page 6 and make the appropriate corrections or clarifications.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #2,659,323 to ALVAREZ, Jr., in view of US Patent #2,928,143 to NEWTON or

Art Unit: 3635

US Patent #3,754,365 to CARRICK et al. ALVAREZ, Jr. discloses the use of a roof or siding assembly including a plurality of wood, column 4, lines 61-62, shingles having top edges and a slat (14) attached thereto extending along a length and rearwardly thereof Wherein the slat (14) includes a main wall (18) and an inverted U/L portion formed by (20,22 and portions of 18). ALVAREZ, Jr. discloses the basic claimed assembly except for the use of spikes in the main wall and except the slat member is positioned on the bottom rather than the top. Regarding the spikes, both NEWTON and CARRICK et al. teaches that it is known in the art to provide the main walls (12); respectively, with spikes (22) or (13,14). In reference to the slat being positioned at the bottom rather than the top, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that ALVAREZ, Jr. is a mere reciprocal of the claimed requirements and would be within the general skill of a worker in the art to switch the positions of the members accordingly. Further, it too would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the slat of ALVAREZ, Jr. with the spikes of either NEWTON or CARRICK et al. in order to more securely attach the shingle to the slat. Spikes provide addition grip and friction because they are able to be embedded within the wood material and hold the members firmly in place. In reference to claim 2, the top edges of the shingles (12) of ALVAREZ, Jr. are covered by the slat connector (14). Regarding claim 3, the slat/connector (14) is metal, column 3, lines 44-47, and includes a U/L shape formed by (20,22 and portions of 18)., In reference to claims 5 and 6, the shingles (12) of ALVAREZ, Jr. taper from a top smaller edge to a bottom wider edge. Regarding claims 7-9, ALVAREZ, Jr. is silent with reference to the size of his

Art Unit: 3635

shingle. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a shingle size suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. For instance, a residential home might require smaller shingles; whereas, a commercial/industrial building might require shaingles of a much larger size. In reference to claims 10-12, as best understood, ALVAREZ, Jr. disloses the useof a plurality of wood shingles (12) attached to a plurality of sheathing (10) to thereby form a plurality of panels; wherein the slat/connector (14) with U/L shaped inverted portions having an upwardly projecting flat portion (20,22).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6845. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,697

Art Unit: 3635

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yvonne M. Horton

Art Uhit 3635

4/17/06